arXiv:1405.3708v2 [hep-th] 23 Oct 2015

# Smarr's Formula in Eleven-Dimensional Supergravity

**Patrick A. Haas**

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA

phaas@usc.edu

**Abstract**

We examine the Smarr formula in eleven-dimensional spacetime compactified on a general six-dimensional, Ricci-flat manifold. We show that non-zero mass for smooth and horizonless solutions can only be provided by cohomology. Furthermore, we confirm the result that there are no solitons without topology and prove the fact that Chern-Simons terms in the mass formula only appear in order to generate a purely topological integral.

# Contents

**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 Preliminaries** **2**

2.1 The eleven-dimensional Supergravity action and equations of motion . . . . . 2

2.2 Invariances . . . . . 3

**3 Komar integrals in eleven-dimensional supergravity** **4**

**4 Recap of the five-dimensional case** **6**

4.1 Incorporating 1-forms . . . . . 6

4.2 Dimensional reduction . . . . . 8

**5 Conclusion** **9**

# 1 Introduction

In quantum mechanics the main issues associated with the classical description of a black hole are the singularity and the "information paradox" following from the problem of accounting for the entropy stored inside and associated with the horizon. One way physicists have addressed this is by searching for a consistent quantum description of a black hole.

In 2002, Samir Mathur proposed a concept within the framework of string theory: The "fuzzball" program [1], which leads in the supergravity limit to smooth, horizonless, and asymptotically flat solutions representing solitons if time-independent.

Supergravity solitons have long been doubted to exist, especially since some theorems appear to rule them out. In [2] and [3] it was recently shown that these theorems can be circumvented by allowing non-trivial topology on the solution's spatial hypersurfaces. In addition to topology the Chern-Simons interactions were shown to generally contribute to solitonic solutions. In the current paper we examine these matters in an eleven-dimensional spacetime, six dimensions of which are compactified. We also show that the Chern-Simons terms only play a secondary role in combining all the fluxes in the integral of the mass formula to a closed differential form and thus ensure the soliton's mass to be purely topological.

Hence, for the existence of solitons in supergravity one still has "No solitons without topology", and the Chern-Simons interactions entirely support this circumstance without adding any extra support mechanisms.

In Section 2 we write out the bosonic part of the eleven-dimensional supergravity action [4] allowing an arbitrary constant in front of the Chern-Simons term, and reexamine the work in [2]

under these more general circumstances.

In Section 3 we set up the eleven-dimensional Komar integral, determine its normalization based on the known result in five-dimensions [2], and derive the eleven-dimensional version of Smarr's formula reflecting the mass of the solitonic solutions purely by topology.

In Section 4 we recap the five-dimensional calculations from [2], also allowing for non-trivial 1-forms and an arbitrary Chern-Simons coefficient, in order to show both the purely topological nature of the mass and the process of getting there from the more general eleven-dimensional case by special choices of fields and compact geometry[1].

# 2 Preliminaries

## 2.1 The eleven-dimensional Supergravity action and equations of motion

Eleven-dimensional supergravity has in its bosonic sector the graviton, $g_{MN}$, and the 3-form potential, $C_{MNK} = C_{[MNK]}$.[2] The latter gives rise to a field strengh 4-form, $F = dC$.

The bosonic action [4] is

$$S_{11} = \int d^{11}x\sqrt{-g}\left(R - \tfrac{1}{2}\left|F\right|^2\right) - \tfrac{\alpha}{6}\int C \wedge F \wedge F, \tag{1}$$

where we have introduced an arbitrary constant coefficient, $\alpha$, for the Chern-Simons term. Supersymmetry corresponds to $\alpha = 1$ but we wish to examine the impact of the Cherm-Simons interactions in a broader, non-supersymmetric version of the theory.

The Einstein equations resulting from (1) are

$$R_{MN} - \tfrac{1}{2}g_{MN}R = \tfrac{1}{12}F_{MRSK}F_N{}^{RSK} - \tfrac{1}{96}g_{MN}F_{RSKL}F^{RSKL}, \tag{2}$$

which may be written,

$$R_{MN} = \tfrac{1}{12}F_{MRSK}F_N{}^{RSK} - \tfrac{1}{144}g_{MN}F_{RSKL}F^{RSKL}. \tag{3}$$

The Maxwell equations resulting from (1) are

$$\nabla_N F^N_{RSK} = J^{CS,\alpha}_{RSK}, \tag{4}$$

[1] For detailed elaboration on flux compactification, see [5–7].

[2] We indicate the whole eleven-dimensional spacetime with a capital latin, the non-compact five-dimensional spacetime with a greek, and the compact six-dimensional space with a small latin index.

with the eleven-dimensional Chern-Simons 3-form current[3],

$$J^{CS,\alpha}_{RSK} = \tfrac{\alpha}{1152}\bar{\epsilon}_{RSKM_4\ldots M_{11}}F^{M_4\ldots M_7}F^{M_8\ldots M_{11}}. \tag{5}$$

Define a dual 7-form,

$$G = \star_{11}F \Leftrightarrow G_{M_1\ldots M_7} = \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{\epsilon}_{M_1\ldots M_{11}}F^{M_8\ldots M_{11}}. \tag{6}$$

The equation of motion for $G$ is simply the Bianchi identity for $F$ and vice versa,

$$\nabla_R G^{M_1\ldots M_6R} = \tfrac{1}{24}\bar{\epsilon}^{M_1\ldots M_6RM_8\ldots M_{11}}\nabla_R F_{M_8\ldots M_{11}} = 0, \tag{7}$$

and, with (4),

$$\nabla_{[M_4}G_{M_5\ldots M_{11}]} = \tfrac{35\alpha}{8}F_{[M_4\ldots M_7}F_{M_8\ldots M_{11}]} \Leftrightarrow dG = \tfrac{\alpha}{2}F\wedge F. \tag{8}$$

Note that

$$G_{MS_1\ldots S_6}G^{NS_1\ldots S_6} = -150\delta^N_{[M}F_{K_1\ldots K_4]}F^{K_1\ldots K_4} = 120F_{MK_2K_3K_4}F^{NK_2K_3K_4} - 30\delta^N_M F_{K_1\ldots K_4}F^{K_1\ldots K_4}, \tag{9}$$

which allows us to rewrite (3) as

$$R_{MN} = \tfrac{1}{18}F_{MRSK}F_N^{\ RSK} + \tfrac{1}{4320}G_{MS_1\ldots S_6}G_N^{\ S_1\ldots S_6}. \tag{10}$$

## 2.2 Invariances

We assume that the matter fields have the symmetries of the metric, that is, they are invariant under a Killing vector, $K$,

$$\mathcal{L}_K F = 0 = \mathcal{L}_K G, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_K$ is the corresponding Lie derivative. Cartan's magic formula,

$$\mathcal{L}_K\omega = d\left(i_K\omega\right) + i_K\left(d\omega\right), \tag{12}$$

applied to the 4-form $F$, yields

$$0 = d\left(i_K F\right) \Leftrightarrow K^M F_{MNRS} = 3\nabla_{[N}\lambda_{RS]} + H^{(3)}_{NRS}, \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda$ are the magnetostatic 2-form potentials of $G$ and the electrostatic 2-form potentials of $F$, respectively, and $H^{(3)}$ is a closed but not exact 3-form, that is, $H^{(3)}\in H^3\left(\mathcal{M}_{11}\right)$.

[3]The Levi-Civita tensor for curved spacetimes is related to the Levi-Civita symbol of Minkowski spacetime like $\bar{\epsilon}^{M_1\ldots M_{11}} = (-g)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\epsilon^{M_1\ldots M_{11}} \Leftrightarrow \bar{\epsilon}_{M_1\ldots M_{11}} = (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\epsilon_{M_1\ldots M_{11}}$.

For $G$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d\left(i_K G\right) + i_K\left(dG\right) \Leftrightarrow d\left(i_K G\right) = -\alpha\left(d\lambda + H^{(3)}\right) \wedge F = -\alpha d\left(\lambda \wedge F - H^{(3)} \wedge C\right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow d\left(i_K G + \alpha\lambda \wedge F - \alpha H^{(3)} \wedge C\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

and so

$$K^M G_{MM_1\ldots M_6} = 6\nabla_{[M_1}\Lambda_{M_2\ldots M_6]} - 15\alpha\lambda_{[M_1M_2}F_{M_3\ldots M_6]} + 20\alpha H^{(3)}_{[M_1M_2M_3}C_{M_4M_5M_6]} + H^{(6)}_{M_1\ldots M_6}, \tag{15}$$

where $\Lambda$ is a generic 5-form and $H^{(6)} \in H^6\left(\mathcal{M}_{11}\right)$ a closed but not exact 6-form.

From (13) and (15) follows

$$\begin{aligned} K^M F_{MRSK}F_N{}^{RSK} &= -3\nabla_R\left(\lambda^{SK}F^R_{SKN}\right) + \tfrac{\alpha}{384}\lambda^{SK}\bar{\epsilon}_{SKNM_4\ldots M_{11}}F^{M_4\ldots M_7}F^{M_8\ldots M_{11}} \\ &\quad + H^{(3)}_{RSK}F_N{}^{RSK} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K^M G_{MS_1\ldots S_6}G_N{}^{S_1\ldots S_6} &= 6\nabla_{S_1}\left(\Lambda_{S_2\ldots S_6}G_N{}^{S_1\ldots S_6}\right) - \tfrac{15\alpha}{24}\bar{\epsilon}_{NS_1\ldots S_{10}}\lambda^{S_1S_2}F^{S_3\ldots S_6}F^{S_7\ldots S_{10}} \\ &\quad + \left(20\alpha H^{(3)}_{S_1S_2S_3}C_{S_4S_5S_6} + H^{(6)}_{S_1\ldots S_6}\right)G_N{}^{S_1\ldots S_6}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and hence, the Einstein equations (10) become

$$\begin{aligned} K^M R_{MN} &= -\tfrac{1}{720}\nabla_R\left(120\lambda^{SK}F^R_{SKN} - \Lambda^{S_2\ldots S_6}G^R_{S_2\ldots S_6N}\right) + \tfrac{1}{18}H^{(3)}_{RSK}F_N{}^{RSK} \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{4320}\left(20\alpha H^{(3)}_{S_1S_2S_3}C_{S_4S_5S_6} + H^{(6)}_{S_1\ldots S_6}\right)G_N{}^{S_1\ldots S_6}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

As in [2] the $\lambda\left(\star F \wedge F\right)$ terms cancel out, and it is important to note that this happens independently of the choice of the parameter, $\alpha$. However, explicit Chern-Simons terms indeed go along with the inclusion of $H^{(3)}$. As we will describe below, the analogue of this in the analysis of [2] was omitted for the assumption of simple-connectedness of the four-dimensional slices, $\Sigma$.

# 3 Komar integrals in eleven-dimensional supergravity

If $K$ is a Killing vector, then the Komar integral [8–11, 2] is

$$\int_{\partial\Sigma} \star dK = \int_{\partial\Sigma}\left(\partial_M K_N - \partial_N K_M\right)d\Sigma^{MN} = -2\int_\Sigma R_{MN}K^M d\Sigma^N. \tag{19}$$

If $K$ is timelike at infinity, we can use a coordinate with $K \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, so near infinity the 1-form is then

$$K \approx g_{00}dt. \tag{20}$$

We know how to get the conserved mass, $M$, from the five-dimensional Komar integral in [2],

assuming

$$M = \int_{\Sigma_{D-1}} T_{00}^{(D)} d\Sigma^{(D-1)} = A_D \int_{S^{D-2}} \star_D dK, \tag{21}$$

where $A_D$ is a normalization, and in particular,

$$A_5 = -\tfrac{3}{32\pi G_5}. \tag{22}$$

We can set up the formula for eleven dimensions,

$$M = A_{11} \int_{S^9} \star_{11} dK = A_{11} \int_{S^3 \times M_6} \star_5 dK \wedge dvol_6|_{r=\infty} = A_{11} vol_6 \int_{S^3} \star_5 dK, \tag{23}$$

and conclude the relation of the normalization factors directly,

$$A_{11} = \tfrac{A_5}{vol_6} = -\tfrac{3}{32\pi G_5 vol_6}, \tag{24}$$

where $vol_6$ is the volume of the $M_6$ at space's infinity.

Hence, the eleven-dimensional Komar integral is:

$$M = -\tfrac{3}{32\pi G_5 vol_6} \int_{S^9} \star_{11} dK = \tfrac{3}{16\pi G_5 vol_6} \int_{\Sigma_{10}} R_{MN} K^M d\Sigma^N. \tag{25}$$

Using (18) in (25) and assuming that the boundary terms fall off sufficiently fast at infinity, the conserved mass is given by

$$M = \tfrac{3}{16\pi G_5 vol_6} \int_{\Sigma_{10}} \left[ \tfrac{1}{18} H^{(3)}_{RSK} F_N{}^{RSK} + \tfrac{1}{4320} \left( 20\alpha H^{(3)}_{S_1S_2S_3} C_{S_4S_5S_6} + H^{(6)}_{S_1 \ldots S_6} \right) G_N{}^{S_1 \ldots S_6} \right] d\Sigma^N. \tag{26}$$

Rewritten in terms of differential forms, this becomes

$$M = \tfrac{1}{32\pi G_5 vol_6} \int_{\Sigma_{10}} \left[ H^{(3)} \wedge (2G - \alpha C \wedge F) - H^{(6)} \wedge F \right]. \tag{27}$$

Note that the differential form,

$$2G - \alpha C \wedge F,$$

is closed by virtue of (8). Since $F$, $H^{(3)}$ and $H^{(6)}$ are closed by definition, the integral is purely topological for all values of $\alpha$. This means that the contribution of explicit Chern-Simons terms in the Komar mass formula is precisely to turn the latter into an integral over cohomology.

# 4 Recap of the five-dimensional case

We are going to repeat the calculations done in [2] and in addition allow for non-trivial 1-forms and an arbitrary constant coefficient of the Chern-Simons term. Finally, we will compare this to the eleven-dimensional case.

The action [12, 13] is

$$S = \int \left( \star_5 \mathcal{R} - Q_{IJ} dX^I \wedge \star_5 dX^J - Q_{IJ} \mathcal{F}^I \wedge \star_5 \mathcal{F}^J - \tfrac{1}{6} C_{IJK} \mathcal{F}^I \wedge \mathcal{F}^J \wedge A^K \right), \tag{28}$$

where $C_{IJK} = |\epsilon_{IJK}|$ and $X^I$, $I = 1, 2, 3$, are scalar fields arising from reducing the eleven-dimensional metric,

$$ds_{11}^2 = ds_5^2 + \left(\tfrac{Z_2 Z_3}{Z_1^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(dx_5^2 + dx_6^2\right) + \left(\tfrac{Z_1 Z_3}{Z_2^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(dx_7^2 + dx_8^2\right) + \left(\tfrac{Z_1 Z_2}{Z_3^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(dx_9^2 + dx_{10}^2\right), \tag{29}$$

with the reparametrization,

$$X^1 = \left(\tfrac{Z_2 Z_3}{Z_1^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \; X^2 = \left(\tfrac{Z_1 Z_3}{Z_2^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \; X^3 = \left(\tfrac{Z_1 Z_2}{Z_3^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}, \tag{30}$$

to fulfill the constraint $X^1 X^2 X^3 = 1$.

Moreover, there is a metric for the kinetic terms,

$$Q_{IJ} = \tfrac{1}{2} \mathrm{diag}\left( \left(\tfrac{1}{X^1}\right)^2, \left(\tfrac{1}{X^2}\right)^2, \left(\tfrac{1}{X^3}\right)^2 \right), \tag{31}$$

necessary also for the dualization of the field strength[4], $\mathcal{F}^I = dA^I$,

$$\mathcal{G}_I = Q_{IJ} \left( \star_5 \mathcal{F}^J \right). \tag{32}$$

The analysis done for equation (5.8) in [2] leads with an arbitrary Chern-Simons coefficient to

$$d\mathcal{G}_I = \tfrac{\beta}{4} C_{IJK} \mathcal{F}^J \wedge \mathcal{F}^K. \tag{33}$$

## 4.1 Incorporating 1-forms

In [2] non-trivial 1-forms have not been considered, since their contribution was assumed to not provide new interesting physics, but here we incorporate them for completeness of the further below stated dictionary of the fields. In particular, they correspond to the eleven-dimensional 3-form.

[4] We use calligraphic script at some places to avoid confusion between the five- and eleven-dimensional objects.

Equation (5.13) of [2] can be extended to

$$K^\rho \mathcal{F}^I_{\rho\mu} = \partial_\mu \lambda^I + H^{(1)I}_\mu. \tag{34}$$

As a consequence we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(i_K \mathcal{G}_I\right) &= -i_K d\mathcal{G}_I = -\tfrac{\beta}{4} C_{ILM} i_K \left(\mathcal{F}^L \wedge \mathcal{F}^M\right) = -\tfrac{\beta}{2} C_{ILM} \left(d\lambda^L + H^{(1)L}\right) \wedge \mathcal{F}^M \\ &= -\tfrac{\beta}{2} C_{ILM} d\left(\lambda^L \mathcal{F}^M - H^{(1)L} \wedge A^M\right), \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

so

$$K^\rho \mathcal{G}_{I\rho\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}\Lambda_{I\nu]} - \tfrac{\beta}{2} C_{ILM} \left(\lambda^L \mathcal{F}^M_{\mu\nu} - 2H^{(1)L}_{[\mu} A^M_{\nu]}\right) + H^{(2)}_{I\mu\nu}. \tag{36}$$

Note, that also here we included an arbitrary constant coefficient, $\beta$, in front of the Chern-Simons term for which, like in the eleven-dimensional case, $\beta = 1$ means supersymmetry.

It follows

$$K^\mu \left(Q_{IJ} \mathcal{F}^I_{\mu\rho} \mathcal{F}^{J\,\rho}_\nu\right) = \nabla_\rho \left(Q_{IJ} \lambda^I \mathcal{F}^{J\,\rho}_\nu\right) + \tfrac{\beta}{16} C_{IJK} \bar{\epsilon}_{\nu\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \lambda^I \mathcal{F}^{J\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}^{K\gamma\delta} + Q_{IJ} H^{(1)I}_\rho \mathcal{F}^{J\,\rho}_\nu \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K^\mu \left(Q^{IJ} \mathcal{G}_{I\mu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{G}^{\nu\rho\sigma}_J\right) &= 2\nabla_\rho \left(Q^{IJ} \Lambda_{I\sigma} \mathcal{G}^{\nu\rho\sigma}_J\right) - \tfrac{\beta}{4} C_{ILM} \bar{\epsilon}^{\nu\alpha\beta\rho\sigma} \lambda^I \mathcal{F}^L_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}^M_{\rho\sigma} \\ &\quad + Q^{IJ} \left(\beta C_{ILM} H^{(1)L}_\rho A^M_\sigma + H^{(2)}_{I\rho\sigma}\right) \mathcal{G}^{\nu\rho\sigma}_J, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} K^\mu R_{\mu\nu} &= \tfrac{1}{3} \nabla_\rho \left(2Q_{IJ} \lambda^I \mathcal{F}^{J\,\rho}_\nu + Q^{IJ} \Lambda_{I\sigma} \mathcal{G}_{J\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma}\right) + \tfrac{2}{3} Q_{IJ} H^{(1)I\rho} \mathcal{F}^J_{\nu\rho} \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{6} Q^{IJ} \left(\beta C_{ILM} H^{(1)L\rho} A^{M\sigma} + H^{(2)\rho\sigma}_I\right) \mathcal{G}_{J\nu\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Excluding inner boundaries, the Komar mass formula becomes

$$\tfrac{16\pi G_5}{3} M = \int_{\Sigma_4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} K^\mu d\Sigma^\nu = \int_{\Sigma_4} \left[\tfrac{2}{3} Q_{IJ} H^{(1)I\rho} \mathcal{F}^J_{\nu\rho} + \tfrac{1}{6} Q^{IJ} \left(\beta C_{ILM} H^{(1)L\rho} A^{M\sigma} + H^{(2)\rho\sigma}_I\right) \mathcal{G}_{J\nu\rho\sigma}\right] d\Sigma^\nu. \tag{40}$$

The generalized version of Smarr's formula given in [2] is now

$$M = \tfrac{1}{32\pi G_5} \int_{\Sigma_4} \left[H^{(1)}_I \wedge \left(4\mathcal{G}^I - \beta C^{IJK} A_J \wedge \mathcal{F}_K\right) - 2H^{(2)}_I \wedge \mathcal{F}^I\right]. \tag{41}$$

Also here note that the differential form,

$$4\mathcal{G}^I - \beta C^{IJK} A_J \wedge \mathcal{F}_K,$$

is closed in virtue of (33). As in (27) the explicit Chern-Simons contributions do only support the

purely topological form of the integrand for all values of $\beta$.

## 4.2 Dimensional reduction

The five-dimensional mass formula in [2] and the one above can obviously be reproduced by dimensional reduction of the eleven-dimensional expression (27).

The five-dimensional fields embed into the eleven-dimensional ones via

$$C = A^1 \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 + A^2 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 + A^3 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} \tag{42}$$

$$F = \mathcal{F}^1 \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 + \mathcal{F}^2 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 + \mathcal{F}^3 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10}. \tag{43}$$

In order to express $G = \star_{11} F$ in terms of the $\mathcal{G}_I = Q_{IJ} \star_5 \mathcal{F}^J$, we go to a representation in frames:

$$\begin{array}{llllll} e^0 = Z^{-1}(dt+k) & e^i = \sqrt{\gamma_{ii}} dx^i & e^5 = \left(\frac{Z_2 Z_3}{Z_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^5 & e^6 = \left(\frac{Z_2 Z_3}{Z_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^6 & & \\ e^7 = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_3}{Z_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^7 & e^8 = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_3}{Z_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^8 & e^9 = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_2}{Z_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^9 & e^{10} = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_2}{Z_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}} dx^{10} & & \end{array} \tag{44}$$

We compute explicitely the first term of (43) and then find the other two by analogy. It holds:

$$\star_{11}\left(e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge e^5 \wedge e^6\right) = \star_5 \left(e^\mu \wedge e^\nu\right) \wedge e^7 \wedge ... \wedge e^{10}, \tag{45}$$

which can be rewritten with (44) to

$$\left(\frac{Z_2 Z_3}{Z_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \star_{11}\left(e^\mu \wedge e^\nu \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6\right) = \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_3}{Z_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{Z_1 Z_2}{Z_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \star_5 \left(e^\mu \wedge e^\nu\right) \wedge dx^7 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{10}. \tag{46}$$

and thus becomes with $(30) - (32)$

$$\star_{11}\left(\mathcal{F}^1 \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6\right) = \tfrac{1}{X_1^2} \star_5 \mathcal{F}^1 \wedge dx^7 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{10} = 2Q_{11} \star_5 \mathcal{F}^1 \wedge dx^7 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{10} = 2\mathcal{G}_1 \wedge dx^7 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{10}. \tag{47}$$

Analogously proceeded for $\mathcal{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{G}_3$, we finally achieve

$$G = 2\left(\mathcal{G}_1 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} + \mathcal{G}_2 \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} + \mathcal{G}_3 \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8\right). \tag{48}$$

With (13), (15), (34), (36), (42), (43), and (48) we find the relations between the non-trivial

forms,

$$H^{(3)} = H^{(1)1} \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 + H^{(1)2} \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 + H^{(1)3} \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(6)} &= 2H_1^{(2)} \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} + 2H_2^{(2)} \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} \\ &\quad + 2H_3^{(2)} \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8, \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

and the remaining forms,

$$\lambda^{(2)} = \lambda^1 dx^5 \wedge dx^6 + \lambda^2 dx^7 \wedge dx^8 + \lambda^3 dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(5)} &= 2\Lambda_1^{(1)} \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} + 2\Lambda_2^{(1)} \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^9 \wedge dx^{10} \\ &\quad + 2\Lambda_3^{(1)} \wedge dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

If we assume our compact space to be a 6-torus, that is, $M_6 = T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$, with $dvol_6 = dx^5 \wedge ... \wedge dx^{10}$, $vol_6 = (2\pi)^6 \prod_{m=1}^{6} r_m$, the $r_m$ being the radii of the tori, and use the above stated dictionary, the eleven-dimensional integral ammounts to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma_{10}} \left[ H^{(3)} \wedge (2G - \alpha C \wedge F) - H^{(6)} \wedge F \right] \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_4 \times T^6} \left[ H_I^{(1)} \wedge \left( 4\mathcal{G}^I - \alpha C^{IJK} A_J \wedge \mathcal{F}_K \right) - 2H_I^{(2)} \wedge \mathcal{F}^I \right] \wedge dvol_6 \\ &= vol_6 \int_{\Sigma_4} \left[ H_I^{(1)} \wedge \left( 4\mathcal{G}^I - \alpha C^{IJK} A_J \wedge \mathcal{F}_K \right) - 2H_I^{(2)} \wedge \mathcal{F}^I \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

From this result we see with (27) and (41) that if $\alpha = \beta$ the Komar masses of both dimensional cases are related like

$$M_{(11)} = M_{(5)}. \tag{54}$$

# 5 Conclusion

We have derived the equations of motion following from eleven-dimensional supergravity and from that inferred a mass formula of solitonic solutions via compactifying on a simply connected and Ricci-flat 6-manifold. Furthermore, we gave the Chern-Simons term an arbitrary constant coefficient in the action to see in how far the results are influenced by this parameter. We finally obtained a generalized version of Smarr's formula and also showed how to arrive back at the five-dimensional theory by performing the eleven-dimensional Komar integral over $T^6$.

The most intriguing aspect of the calculations done both in [2] and here is the proof of the possibility of contructing massive soliton solutions without the need of horizons and so showing that the techniques used in microstate geometries are the only methods that can support solitons.

Moreover, we could show that making the Chern-Simons term arbitrary does not change this fact; the incorporation of Chern-Simons interactions does not yield extra pieces in the mass formula in addition to the topological terms, but is only significant for the purely topological nature of the soliton mass.

The question, whether the eleven-dimensional generalization does indeed contain new physics in its spectrum of different topological mass terms, is yet to be investigated.

**Acknowledgements**

First and foremost would I like to thank Nicholas Warner for his helpful and inspiring advising through my time as PhD student, as well as for his great style of teaching. Moreover I am thankful for helpful discussions with Benjamin Niehoff and my other fellow researchers of the High Energy Group of USC. My gratitude also goes to the University of Southern California for offering all its beautiful places to be and giving the opportunities to study and do work in manifold kinds in a comfortable and vitalising atmosphere. Last but not least would I like to thank the DOE for their support under the grant DE-FG03-84ER-40168.

# References

[1] S. D. Mathur, "The Fuzzball proposal for black holes: An Elementary review", Fortsch. Phys. 53, 793 (2005) [hep-th/0502050].

[2] G. W. Gibbons and N. P. Warner, "Global Structure of Five-dimensional BPS Fuzzballs", Class. Quantum Grav. **31**, 025016 (2014) [arXiv:1305.0957 [hep-th]].

[3] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, "The first law of soliton and black hole mechanics in five dimensions", Class. Quantum Grav. 31:032001, 2014, arXiv:1310.4810 [hep-th].

[4] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, and J. Scherk, "Supergravity theory in 11 dimensions", Physics Letters B76 (1978) pp 409–412.

[5] M. Graña, "Flux compactifications in string theory: a comprehensive review", Phys.Rept. 423 (2006) 91-158, arXiv:hep-th/0509003.

[6] H. Samtleben, "Lectures on Gauged Supergravity and Flux Compactications", Class.Quant.Grav. 25:214002, 2008, arXiv:0808.4076 [hep-th].

[7] F. Denef, M. R. Douglas, and S. Kachru, "Physics of String Flux Compactifications", Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 57:119-144, 2007, arXiv:hep-th/0701050.

[8] G. W. Gibbons, D. Kastor, L. A. J. London, P. K. Townsend and J. H. Traschen, "Supersymmetric selfgravitating solitons", Nucl. Phys. B **416**, 850 (1994) [hep-th/9310118].

[9] W. A. Sabra, "General BPS black holes in five-dimensions", Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 239 (1998) [hep-th/9708103].

[10] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, "Black Holes in Higher Dimensional Space-Times", Annals Phys. **172**, 304 (1986).

[11] A. W. Peet, "TASI lectures on black holes in string theory", hep-th/0008241.

[12] I. Bena and N. P. Warner, "Black Holes, Black Rings and their Microstates", arXiv:hep-th/0701216.

[13] B. E. Niehoff, "Non-Supersymmetric, Multi-Center Solutions with Topological Flux", arXiv:1308.6335 [hep-th].